FUNDAMENTAL EQUITY ANALYSIS

A PRIMER

WRITTEN BY: DAVID NINCIC

ADVANCED STUDY PROJECT IN FINANCE (FNCE 890) THE WHARTON SCHOOL PROFESSOR DONALD KEIM SPRING 1999

> THE WHARTON FELLOWS FUND (http://wh-fellows.wharton.upenn.edu)

FUNDAMENTAL EQUITY ANALYSIS

A PRIMER

Fundamental Equity Analysis: A Primer provides Wharton students with a guide to the craft of stock picking. It presents an overview of qualitative and quantitative fundamental research methods, with a comprehensive survey of valuation techniques. The framework provided in the *Primer* should prove useful to those who wish to present stock recommendations, either verbally or in written form. We urge those with an interest in stock picking to present their investment ideas to the Wharton Fellows Fund. We hope that the *Primer* will be a valuable resource in this regard.

David Nincic MBA, Class of 1999

INTRODUCTION

1
1
1
2
2
3
3
4
4
7
7
7
8
8
8

Financial Risk ______8 Operating Risk and Financial Leverage _____8

Operating Leases 9

Distortion of P/E and ROE by Debt 9

VALUATION

Price Multiples	11
P/E	11
EV/EBIT(DA)	_13
EV/Sales	16
Price/Book	16
EV/Invested Capital	17
MVA/Capitalized EVA	17
_	
Discounted Cash Flow	19
Cost of Capital	19
Cost of Debt	19
Cost of Equity	20
Capital Asset Pricing Model	20
Risk-free Rate	21
Beta	22
Equity Risk Premium	23
Steps in Performing a DCF Valuation	23
Economic Value Added (EVA)	27
DuPont Model	28
Appendix I	
Checklist of Data Required for a Research	
Report Presented to the Fellows Fund	30
APPENDIX II	
Calculating Betas Using Datastream	31
APPENDIX III	
Excerpt from Firearms Training Systems, Inc.	
(Nasdaq: FATS) Research Report	33
	25
Bibliography	35

Fundamental Analysis	 Stocks that comprise the Wharton Fellows Fund are selected using fundamental equity analysis Fundamental analysis is premised on the belief that markets are not efficient and that superior research can uncover stocks whose intrinsic values differ from their market values Technical analysis, in contrast, is premised on the belief that by recognizing recurrent and predictable stock price patterns, one can identify and profitably trade mispriced stocks In this report, we will provide: a broad introduction to fundamental equity research direction for those who wish to write a stock research report to be presented to the Wharton Fellows Fund 						
Investment Philosophy	 The Wharton Fellows Fund invests only in small-cap value stocks Academic research suggests that small companies with low price-to-book ratios outperform the market over time^{1,2} 						
	 While small-cap value is a category with no precise definition, the Wharton Fellows Fund defines small-cap value stocks as having: Equity market capitalization of less than \$1 billion Financial ratios and price multiples in the top tercile-to-quintile of publicly-traded companies (e.g., low P/E companies are in a top segment, high ROA companies are in a top segment) 						
Choosing a Stock to Research	 Fellows Fund Screen Screen identifies stocks that meet the characteristics described above Several hundred stocks have been identified The Fellows Fund prefers that its portfolio consist of stocks from the screen, but exceptions are commonly made Ask any Fellow for a copy of the screen 						
	 Focus on companies within industries in which the Fund is underweighted The Fellows Fund should be industry neutral Industry weightings of Fund should mimic those of the Russell 2000 In each weekly Fellows Fund meeting, a sheet is distributed that outlines the Fund's holdings, including industry weightings 						
	 Ask for ideas from the Analysts of the industry that you are interested in Each industry has two Fellows that are Analysts for the industry 						
	 Leverage personal work experience and interests Many of the Fund's best stocks are recommended by students who have worked with or analyzed the company and/or related industry in their prior work 						
	 Many stocks may have to be analyzed before finding a true compelling value The stock that you ultimately present to the Fellows Fund may not be the first stock you chose to research 						

¹ Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French. "The Cross-Section of Expected Stock Returns," *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 47, No. 2, June 1992, pp. 427-465.

² Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French. "Size and Book-to-Market Factors in Earnings and Returns," *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 50, No. 1, March 1995, pp. 131-155.

-	
Conducting Research	 Review the information in a company's "investor packet" An investor packet can be obtained through the Investor Relations Department of the company you are interested in The investor packet should contain the company's latest annual report, 10-K, 10-Q, recent press releases, and, possibly, sell-side analyst reports Use First Call Research Direct, available at Lippincott Library³ Get sell-side (investment bank) company and industry research reports Get sell-side (investment bank) company and industry research reports Get sell-side analyst morning notes Morning notes (referred to as US or International Notes by First Call) are short commentaries on stocks that sell-side analysts publish, usually for discussion at the morning equity department meeting of the analyst's firm Sell-side analysts write morning notes on companies more frequently than they do research reports Talk to company management After conducting research on a stock, questions arise that can be answered only
	 After conducting research on a stock, questions arise that can be answered only by company management The appropriate contact is usually the Director of Investor Relations or CFO They are often unresponsive to phone calls from the Wharton Fellows Fund because the Fund is an unknown, small investor to them Stating that you are with the "Endowment Fund of the University of Pennsylvania" typically generates a better response than stating that you are with the "Wharton Fellows Fund" (and is truthful, we contend!)
Useful Resources	 Yahoo Finance (http://finance.yahoo.com) Current and historical stock prices Historical stock price graphs Government bond yields Stock index values Insider buying and selling Recent press releases Message board with lively discussions on most stocks Bloomberg Excellent source for news reports and press releases on companies
	 Two terminals on the second floor of Vance Hall and one terminal in Lippincott Global Access (http://www.library.upenn.edu/resources/databases/databases.html) Excellent source of SEC documents (10-Ks, 10-Qs, 8-Ks) Only Web site of which we are aware that prints EDGAR filings correctly Capable of downloading financial statements directly into Excel Somewhat slow to download SEC Archive of EDGAR filings (http://www.sec.gov/cgi-bin/srch-edgar) Fastest download time of all web-based EDGAR databases
	 Fastest download time of an web-based EDGAK databases Cumbersome to read through a downloaded document. Prints poorly

³ First Call Research Direct may not be available in Lippincott Library beginning Fall 1999. It may be replaced with another Web-based database of Wall Street research reports. Morning meeting notes and earnings estimates (but not research reports) are also available using the First Call terminal in the Fellows Fund office (435A Vance Hall). You can get a key to the office from the WGA office (216 Vance Hall) or by asking a Fellow.

Useful Resources (continued)	 LEXIS/NEXIS (http://www.library.upenn.edu/resources/databases/databases.html) Full text of articles available from virtually every newspaper, magazine and newsletter that exists (Notable exception: <i>Wall Street Journal</i>) Use Telnet version rather than the Web version. The Telnet version has a better search engine and indexes more periodicals 						
	 Datastream A very useful but user-unfriendly database of financial information updated in real-time Only resource at Penn that can calculate betas using total returns⁴ Two terminals in Lippincott Library 						
Stock Picking Principles	Changes in perceptions of company and industry fundamentals are the catalyst for a stock's movement						
	• Anticipate these changes before others do						
	• The most important factor in anticipating change is to understand the competitive position of a company and its industry						
	• The qualitative is more important than the quantitative						
	• Decisions must be made using limited and conflicting information. Do not wait until you have all the facts to buy a stock. It will then be too late						
	• The more complex the reasoning, the more likely it is to be wrong. The basis for buying a stock should be simple and explainable in less than a minute						
	• Know the sign (+ or -) of both the first and second derivative of a company or industry's key operating indicators. Use the second derivative to anticipate changes in a company's fundamentals						
	• The best investments are anti-consensus. If consensus is correct on a stock, abnormal returns cannot be achieved						
	• Earnings disappointments and positive surprises are usually multiple quarter events						
Understanding a Company's Business	• Without a thorough understanding of a company's precise business, one cannot analyze the competitive position of a company						
	• A company's annual report and 10-K are the best documents to understand the exact nature of a company's business						
	 Never lose sight of the fact that you are betting on a business when buying a stock Thoroughly understand the bet that is being made The business comes first, the financials come (a distant) second. 						

⁻ The business comes first, the financials come (a distant) second

 $^{^{\}rm 4}$ In Appendix II, we provide an example of calculating betas using Datastream.

Competitive Analysis⁵	 Porter's Five Forces is a highly useful framework for analyzing the attractiveness of an industry A company analysis is valid only within the context of an industry analysis
	• The underlying assumption of the Porter framework is that industry profitability is a function of industry structure and <u>not</u> a function of the product that the industry sells
	 In analyzing a company as an investment, one should be able to: Evaluate the attractiveness of the company's industry within a Porter Framework Identify the company's competitive strategy Identify the company's ability to achieve and/or sustain a competitive advantage within its industry
Industry Analysis	• The Porter Framework states that there are five basic competitive forces that affect industry profitability
	 The first three determine industry profitability Rivalry among firms Ease of entry and exit Pressure from substitute products
	 The last two determine who in the industry retains the profits Bargaining power of buyers Bargaining power of suppliers
Competitive Strategies	 Within all industries, companies have three generic strategies that they can pursue Cost leadership Product differentiation within main industry segments Niche specialization
Sustainable Competitive Advantage	• Choice of a generic competitive strategy does not necessarily lead to superior profitability
	 The competitive strategy must be sustainable. Understand the factors that lead to or prevent erosion of a company's competitive advantage Cost leadership requires low overhead, standardization of processes, leverage with suppliers, and learning curve effects Product differentiation requires innovation, customer loyalty and strong marketing skills
Earnings Projections	 All stock research reports presented to the Wharton Fellows Fund must include a detailed model that projects earnings per share (EPS) for at least two fiscal years On the following page, we describe the major line items of an earnings model and how to project them⁶

⁵ To maintain the focus of this report, only a brief review of competitive analysis is included. Nevertheless, this is probably the most important section of the report. In the author's opinion, two of the best stock-picking books are written by Michael Porter, a recognized expert in competitive strategy theory rather than stock-picking:

Porter, Michael E. Competitive Strategy: Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors. New York: The Free Press, 1980. Porter, Michael E. Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance. New York: The Free Press, 1985.

If pressed for time, a very worthwhile read is the chapter: "Competitive Strategy: The Core Concepts" in *Competitive Advantage*. ⁶ This approach takes several shortcuts allowing an analyst to project earnings without simultaneously projecting the balance sheet and cash flow statement. These conceptual weaknesses do not typically result in material differences in near-term earnings projections.

Earnings Projections (continued)	 When you have completed your earnings model, compare it to the earnings models of sell-side analysts Understand (and possibly correct) discrepancies between the two models Do not necessarily assume that the sell-side analyst's model is more accurate
Revenues	 Revenue = volume x price Earnings models are highly sensitive to small adjustments in volume and pricing estimates. Use great care and thought in making these estimates If specific volume and pricing data is not given by a company, have a mental model of how volumes and pricing will change going forward Understand cyclical sales pattern of a company and/or industry Distinguish between inflation and non-inflation increases in pricing. Price increases in excess of inflation typically lead to increases in operating margins
Operating Expenses	• Revenues – operating expenses = EBITDA
	 Factors that affect total operating expenses include: Inventory costs Unit labor costs Selling, general and administrative costs Capacity utilization rates Inflation
	 Inventory costs Understand the direction and magnitude of the change in input prices Understand the pricing power of a company relative to its suppliers Determine whether increases in inventory costs can be passed on to the customer
	 Unit labor costs Unit labor costs depend upon wage levels and productivity An increase in the wage level does not necessarily increase unit labor costs if the wage increase reflects productivity gains
	 Selling, general and administrative costs Determine to what extent SG&A costs are correlated with revenues Weak correlation may imply that operating margins are sensitive to small percentage changes in revenue
	 Capacity utilization rates Important for companies with high operating leverage.⁷ For such companies, small increases in volumes can have a magnified effect on operating margins Airlines are an example in which capacity utilization rates are very important. An additional passenger on a flight is almost pure profit
	 Inflation In the short-run, inflation often raises operating margins because product prices usually increase more rapidly than labor and raw material costs In the long run, raw material and labor costs will usually rise to a similar level to that of product prices, if not surpass them

 7 Operating leverage = Fixed costs as a % of total costs.

Nincic, David. *Fundamental Equity Analysis: A Primer*. Advanced Study Project in Finance (FNCE 890). The Wharton School. Spring 1999.

EXAMPLE: EARNINGS PROJECTIONS

TENET HEALTHCARE CORPORATION Fiscal 2000 Quarterly Income Statement Projections								
1Q00E 2Q00E 3Q00E 4Q00E								
(\$000,000s)	FY1999E	8/31/99	11/30/99	2/28/00	5/31/00	FY2000E	2000 vs. 1999	
Net Operating Revenues	\$11,004	\$2,756	\$2,673 \$2,762		\$2,794 \$10,98		(0%)	
Operating Expenses								
Salaries and benefits	4,476	1,120	1,084	1,117	1,128	4,449	(1%)	
Supplies	1,553	395	382	394	397	1,568	1%	
Provision for doubtful accounts	731	173	168	173	175	689	(6%)	
Other operating expenses	2,375	593	574	592	597	2,356	(1%)	
Operating Income (EBITDA)	\$1,871	\$474	\$465	\$486	\$497	\$1,922	3%	
EBITDA percentage	17.0%	17.2%	17.4%	17.6%	17.8%	17.5%		
Depreciation	423	103	91	87	87	368	(13%)	
Amortization	132	34	34	35	35	138	5%	
Interest expense	485	118	110	103	100	431	(11%)	
Interest income	(29)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(25)	(15%)	
Minority interest expense	5	1	2	2	2	7	40%	
Pretax Income	\$855	\$ 224	\$ 234	\$ 265	\$ 279	\$ 1,003	17%	
Income taxes	330	87	91	103	109	391	19%	
Tax rate	38.6%	39.0%	39.0%	39.0%	39.0%	39.0%		
Net Income	\$525	\$137	\$143	\$162	\$170	\$612	17%	
Diluted EPS	\$1.67	\$0.44	\$0.46	\$0.51	\$0.54	\$1.95	16%	
Diluted Shares Outstanding (000s)	313,570	313,800	314,000	314,500	315,500	314,450	0%	
	FY1999F	1Q00E 8/31/99	1Q00E 2Q00E		4Q00E 5/31/00	FY2000F	% Change 2000 vs 1999	
	TTTTT	0/31/77	11/30/77	2/20/00	5/51/00	1 1 2000L	2000 vs. 1777	
Domestic hospitals	131	121	111	111	111	111	(15%)	
Average licensed beds	29,490	28,900	26,900	26,900	26,900	27,400	(7%)	
Admissions	942,980	230,040	212,700	225,400	227,860	896,000	(5%)	
Average length of stay	5.3	5.2	5.2	5.1	5.1	5.2	(2%)	
Inpatient days	4,950,645	1,203,109	1,112,421	1,149,540	1,162,086	4,627,156	(7%)	
Revenue per admission	\$ 7,035	\$ 6,966	\$ 7,034	\$ 6,936	\$ 6,936	\$ 6,967	(1%)	
Revenue per inpatient day	\$ 1,340	\$ 1,332 \$ 1,022	\$ 1,345	\$ 1,360	\$ 1,360	\$ 1,349	1%	
Inpatient revenues (MM)	\$ 6,634	\$ 1,603	\$ 1,496	\$ 1,563	\$ 1,580	\$ 6,243	(6%)	
Outpatient visits	9,487,000	2,450,000	2,495,000	2,532,000	2,575,000	10,052,000	6%	
Revenue per outpatient visit	\$ 340	\$ 343	\$ 345	\$ 347	\$ 350	\$ 346	2%	
Outpatient revenues (MM)	\$ 3,226	\$ 840	\$ 861	\$ 879	\$ 901	\$ 3,481	8%	
Other operations (MM)	\$ 1,145	\$ 313	\$ 316	\$ 320	\$ 312	\$ 1,261	10%	

Depreciation and Amortization	 Depreciation is a non-cash cost that is based primarily on past capital expenditures It can usually be forecasted by extrapolating the past 3-7 year trend into the future
	 Amortization typically refers to the amortization of goodwill It is probably safe to assume that this expense stays flat over the near future
Interest Expense	 Interest expense is primarily a function of previously borrowed funds whose interest rates were fixed in the past Interest expense can usually be projected by extrapolating from historical trends If debt will increase significantly, future interest expense can be estimated as: past interest expense + (current interest rates x estimated increase in debt)
Income Tax Rate	• It is usually appropriate to assume that future tax rates approximate current tax rates
Diluted Shares Outstanding	 Extrapolate growth in diluted shares outstanding from past trends Diluted shares outstanding usually increase by about 1-3% each year to account for an increase in the number of options granted and exercised⁸ Exclude any past share offerings when determining a normalized growth rate for diluted shares outstanding
Balance Sheet: Introduction	 The balance sheet is too often overlooked when analyzing a company's financials. Yet it provides two main pieces of information that are critical in valuing a company A company's quality of earnings A company's financial risk
	• We will discuss these two topics in detail in the following two sections
Balance Sheet: Indicator of Earnings Quality	 Quality of earnings is the relationship between a company's operating cash flow (a fact) with reported earnings (an accounting estimate) Generally, the higher the operating cash flow, the higher the quality of earnings.
	 Generally, the higher the operating cash now, the higher the quarty of earlings Four major indicators of earnings quality are presented below. Deterioration of the first two indicators can be a predictor of future earnings disappointments Days of sales outstanding (DSOs) Days inventory held Capitalized costs Reserves related to one-time charges
Days of Sales Outstanding (DSOs)	 DSO = Average net accounts receivable / (Sales / # of days in period) The lower the DSO, the higher the quality of earnings An increase in DSO indicates that a company is having more difficulty converting its sales into cash Possible indicator of a more liberal customer credit policy Possible indicator of more aggressive revenue recognition accounting

⁸ In addition, an increase in stock price may increase diluted shares outstanding under the treasury stock method of accounting for the assumed exercise of in-the-money employee stock options.

Days Inventory Held	 Days inventory held = Ave. inventory / (Cost of goods sold / # of days in period) The lower the days inventory held, the higher the quality of earnings Inventory ties up capital, which results in a higher cost of capital (in \$ terms rather than in % terms) An increase in days inventory held indicates that a company is building up inventory at a rate greater than it is selling product Possible indicator of sales difficulties Possible indicator that a company has obsolete inventory 						
Capitalized Costs	 Capitalized costs are costs that are capitalized (debited) to the balance sheet rather than expensed (debited) on the income statement Capitalized costs reduce cash flow but do not reduce earnings (until they are later amortized). They therefore tend to inflate reported net income Examples of capitalized costs include: Interest expense related to construction activities Software development costs Certain marketing costs (e.g., AOL's accounting method prior to October 1996 for direct response advertising costs) 						
Reserves Related to One-time Charges	 Firms often incur large one-time/special/non-recurring expenses related to acquisitions, restructurings and other events Firms often overestimate these expenses in order to create a reserve (a credit to a liability) If it appears in the future that a company will not meet Wall Street earnings estimates, it can reduce (credit) its income statement expenses by reducing (debiting) the reserve Be wary of companies with a history of recurring "non-recurring" charges. The earnings quality of such companies is suspect 						
Balance Sheet: Financial Risk	 Systematic risk has two components⁹ Operating risk Financial risk Financial risk is associated with the level of debt in a company's capital structure Debt increases a company's financial risk and, hence, its cost of equity⁹ There are three questions that you should be able to answer with regard to a company's capital structure: 						
Operating Risk and Financial Leverage	 Is the financial leverage employed by a company appropriate for its operating risk? The more volatile a company's cash flows, the less leverage it should employ, and vice versa Utilities, with predictable cash flows, have high debt levels Technology companies, with unpredictable cash flows, have low debt levels Be careful of companies that diversify their business mix and increase their operating risk, but maintain the same financial risk (e.g., nursing home companies) 						

 9 We discuss systematic risk and its relationship to the cost of equity on page 20.

Operating Leases	 How would the capitalization of operating leases affect the capital structure? Companies minimize apparent debt levels by entering into leases that GAAP allows to be classified as operating leases rather than capitalized leases The rent on these leases represents a fixed charge equivalent to the interest payments and debt repayments the company would make were it to buy the PP&E using mortgage financing One should capitalize operating leases (when material) to get a better representation of the level of debt There are two main methods used to capitalize operating leases (Conceptually correct method) Calculate the present value of future required operating lease payments. Future operating lease payments are provided in a company's annual report/10-K. Discount at the cost of debt (Shortcut used by practitioners) Take the most recent quarter's rent expense, annualize it by multiplying by 4, and then multiply the result by 8, which assumes a cap rate of 12.5%
<i>Distortion of P/E</i> and ROE by Debt ¹⁰	 How does a company's capital structure distort its P/E and return on equity (ROE)? Value stocks: leverage tends to <u>understate</u> P/E and <u>overstate</u> ROE Growth stocks: leverage tends to <u>overstate</u> P/E and <u>understate</u> ROE Value stocks tend to have EBIT/EV > cost of debt Growth stocks tend to have EBIT/EV < cost of debt The following rules of thumb are useful:
	Rules of Thumb: Leverage and P/Es
	If EBIT/EV > cost of debt, then leverage understates P/E If EBIT/EV = cost of debt, then leverage does not disort P/E If EBIT/EV < cost of debt, then leverage overstates P/E

Rules of Thumb: Leverage and Returns on Equity

If EBIT / invested capital $> \cos t$ of debt, then leverage overstates ROE

If EBIT / invested capital = cost of debt, then leverage does not disort ROE

If EBIT / invested capital < cost of debt, then leverage understates ROE

where invested capital = book value of debt and equity

– On the following page, we demonstrate the distorting effect that leverage has on P/E

 $^{^{10}}$ A return on (market) equity (ROE) is simply the reciprocal of a P/E. Since ROE is usually based on the book value of equity, we calculate ROE on a book basis.

EFFECT OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE ON P/E

Low Multiple Stocks (Value Stocks)								
	Company							
<u>(\$000,000s)</u>		<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>			<u>D</u>
Market value of debt	\$	-	\$	10.0	\$	25.0	\$	35.0
Market value of equity	\$	50.0	\$	40.0	\$	25.0	\$	15.0
Enterprise value of firm	\$	50.0	\$	50.0	\$	50.0	\$	50.0
EBIT	\$	10.0	\$	10.0	\$	10.0	\$	10.0
Interest expense @ 10%		-		1.0		2.5		3.5
Pre-tax income		10.0		9.0		7.5		6.5
Income taxes @ 40%		4.0		3.6		3.0		2.6
Net income	\$	6.0	\$	5.4	\$	4.5	\$	3.9
Enterprise value / EBIT		5.0		5.0		5.0		5.0
P/E		8.3		7.4		5.6		3.8

EBIT / Enterprise value of 20% > Interest expense of 10%, therefore debt distorts P/Es <u>downward</u>. Typically, leveraged <u>value</u> stocks have understated P/Es.

High Multiple Stocks (Growth Stocks)								
	Company							
<u>(\$000,000s)</u>		<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>		<u>D</u>
Market value of debt	\$	-	\$	10.0	\$	25.0	\$	35.0
Market value of equity	\$	50.0	\$	40.0	\$	25.0	\$	15.0
Enterprise value of firm	\$	50.0	\$	50.0	\$	50.0	\$	50.0
EBIT	\$	4.0	\$	4.0	\$	4.0	\$	4.0
Interest expense @ 10%		-		1.0		2.5		3.5
Pre-tax income		4.0		3.0		1.5		0.5
Income taxes @ 40%		1.6		1.2		0.6		0.2
Net income	\$	2.4	\$	1.8	\$	0.9	\$	0.3
Enterprise value / EBIT		12.5		12.5		12.5		12.5
P/E		20.8		22.2		27.8		50.0
EBIT / Enterprise value of 8% < Interest expense of 10%, therefore debt distorts P/Es <u>upward</u> . Typically, leveraged <u>growth</u> stocks have overstated P/Es.								

<u>Medium</u> Multiple Stocks								
	Company							
<u>(\$000,000s)</u>		<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>		<u>D</u>
Market value of debt	\$	-	\$	10.0	\$	25.0	\$	35.0
Market value of equity	\$	50.0	\$	40.0	\$	25.0	\$	15.0
Enterprise value of firm	\$	50.0	\$	50.0	\$	50.0	\$	50.0
EBIT	\$	5.0	\$	5.0	\$	5.0	\$	5.0
Interest expense @ 10%		-		1.0		2.5		3.5
Pre-tax income		5.0		4.0		2.5		1.5
Income taxes @ 40%		2.0		1.6		1.0		0.6
Net income	\$	3.0	\$	2.4	\$	1.5	\$	0.9
Enterprise value / EBIT		10.0		10.0		10.0		10.0
P/E		16.7		16.7		16.7		16.7

EBIT / Enterprise value of 10% = Interest expense of 10%, therefore debt <u>does not</u> distort P/Es.

Notes:

• Each firm is worth the same.
• Each firm is valued correctly on a relative basis as shown by the equal EV/EBIT multiples.
• The variation in P/E incorrectly implies that some stocks are being valued more cheaply than others.
• EV/EBIT multiples are more appropriate than P/Es when comparing firms with different capital structures.
• Similarly, return on invested capital (ROIC) is a more valid measurement than return on equity (ROE) when comparing firms with different capital structures.
• This analysis is premised on Miller Modigliani's Propositions I and II (no taxes) that firm value is unaffected by capital structure.
• Most academics argue that there is a tax benefit to debt (with the value of the benefit debated), and therefore capital structure does matter.
• It remains true that leverage distorts P/Es and ROEs, with the exact magnitude debatable.

Nincic, David. Fundamental Equity Analysis: A Primer.

Advanced Study Project in Finance (FNCE 890). The Wharton School. Spring 1999.

Valuation Introduction	 There are two main types of valuations: Price multiple¹¹ Discounted cash flow (DCF) Price multiples are useful measures of relative values 							
	 Discounted cash flows are the only true measure of absolute values EVA and CFROI are DCF-based valuation methodologies ¹² 							
Price Multiples	 Advantages of price multiples Easy to calculate Provides a means to compare the relative values of companies Provides useful crosschecks to the values derived from DCF models 							
	 Limitations of price multiples Does not capture the absolute and true value of a company, which is the present value of its future cash flows The value of a company is <u>not</u> an assumed price multiple An average industry or market price multiple is a weak predictor of a company's future price multiple Denominator of price multiple is typically an accounting figure. Accounting numbers are estimates while cash flows are fact Denominator of price multiple incorporates only one year of historical or projected results and thus does not incorporate growth rates 							
	 Maintain consistency between the claimholders (debtholders and shareholders) in the numerator and denominator. For a levered firm, use of the following multiples is: <u>Correct</u> <u>P/E</u> <u>EV/EBIT</u> <u>EV/EBITDA</u> <u>EV/Sales</u> <u>EV/Invested capital</u> <u>Price/Book</u> 							
	• On the following page, we provide an example of inconsistency between claimholders and its effect on the price multiple							
P/E	 Calculation of P/E For P, use current price of stock For E, use projected diluted EPS for the next four quarters (forward P/E)¹⁴ Stock prices incorporate expectations of the future more than they do results of the past. Therefore, forward P/Es tend to be more useful than trailing P/Es It is still worthwhile to calculate trailing P/Es. The four quarter trailing P/E is 							

the P/E that is typically reported by the media

¹¹ "Price" multiples are not limited to multiples in which "price" (of a stock) is in the numerator. It also includes multiples in which Enterprise value or other measures of firm value are in the numerator.

¹² Economic Value Added (EVA) is promoted by Stern Stewart & Co. Cash Flow Return on Investment (CFROI) is promoted by HOLT Value Associates. We discuss EVA in detail beginning on page 27.

¹³ Companies that are valued as a multiple of sales are typically early stage companies that are not profitable. These companies rarely have debt. Therefore, a Price/Sales calculation is equivalent to an EV/Sales calculation.

¹⁴ Quarterly EPS estimates can be obtained from the First Call Research Direct terminal in Lippincott Library or the Fellows Fund office.

Page 12

Example: Mixing Claimholders in the Numerator and Denominator of a Price Multiple

Maintaining Consiste and D	ency Between enominator of	Claimholders in the N Price Multiples:	umerato	or				
An Example using EV/EBIT and Price/EBIT								
Market Values and Price	Multiples	Abbreviated Inco	ome State	ement				
Market (book) value of deb Market value of equity	ot \$ 1,000 100	EBIT Interest @ 9%	\$	100 (90)				
Enterprise value	\$ 1,100	Pretax Income Taxes @ 40%		10 (4)				
Price/EBIT	1.0	Net Income	\$	6				
 <i>Denominator:</i> Both debthe The use of EV/EBIT is corre- <u>Price/EBIT</u> 	olders and equi rect	tyholders have a claim	on EBIT	1				
• Numerator: Only equityho	lders comprise	Price (Price = market v	alue of e	equity)				
 <i>Denominator:</i> Both debtholders and equityholders have a claim on EBIT The result is a Price/EBIT ratio of 1.0 which is implausibly cheap 								
 Equityholders do not have a claim on the 90% of EBIT used to pay interest A more appropriate price multiple that includes only the equityholders in the numerator is P/E. 								
• The P/E for this company is of the relative value of the company is the relative value of the relative value of the company is the relative value of the relative value of the company is the relative value of the relati	s 16.7 (100 ÷ 6 company), which is a much bette	er indicat	or				

P/E (continued)

- Measure a company's forward P/E relative to its peer group
 - Understand why the company trades at a discount/premium to its peers
 - Company P/Es do not necessarily mean revert to an industry average
 - Be cognizant of whether one is equal-weighting or market-cap weighting the industry average P/E, and the significance of the choice
- Be aware that industry P/Es tend to be upwardly biased
 - Upward bias primarily caused by skewed distribution of P/Es. P/Es have lots of extreme points on the high end, but are limited to zero on the low end. (Negative P/Es are not meaningful and are always excluded from average P/E calculations)
 - *Inverse average E/P* (1/average E/P for the industry) is probably a better estimate of the industry P/E. This measure helps correct for the upward bias
- Measure a company's forward P/E relative to that of the market¹⁵
 - For P, use the current value of the index 16
 - For E, use forward EPS of the index 17

¹⁵ The market is either the S&P500 or Russell 2000. Other indices such as the NYSE Composite, Nasdaq Composite, Russell 2000 Value, or Wilshire 5000 may also be appropriate benchmarks for a stock, but we are unaware of resources that provide earnings estimates for these indices. ¹⁶ On Yahoo Finance, the ticker symbol for the S&P500 is ^SPX. For the Russell 2000, it is ^RUX.

P/E (continued)

- Examine historical relationship between a company's forward P/E and the market's forward P/E¹⁸
 - Understand reasoning for changes in relative P/Es over time (e.g., why does a company now trade at a discount to the market when it has historically traded at a premium to the market?)
- Be aware of how leverage distorts P/E
 See Distortion of P/E and ROE by Debt on page 9

EV/EBIT(DA)

- Calculation of EV/EBIT(DA)
 - The calculation of EV is shown on page 14
 - On page 15, we provide a fairly complicated, real-world example of calculating enterprise value for Chock Full O'Nuts Corporation
 - When calculating EBIT(DA) for purpose of an enterprise multiple, use the four most recent historical quarters (i.e., trailing EBIT(DA))
 - While enterprise multiples on forward EBIT or EBITDA would be useful, it is very difficult to get consensus EBIT or EBITDA estimates



- The primary benefit of enterprise multiples is that they are not distorted by leverage
- EBIT vs. EBITDA
 - EBIT is usually more appropriate then EBITDA
 - Use EBIT when analyzing a company whose annual capital expenditures approximate or exceed the company's annual depreciation expense
 - Use EBITDA when analyzing a company whose capital expenditures tend be front-end loaded. In such cases:
 - The depreciation expense usually exceeds ongoing capital expenditures
 - EBITDA may be a better approximation of cash flow than EBIT
- Limitations of EV/EBIT(DA)
 - Using EBIT and EBITDA is not cash flow analysis
 - Both measures ignore taxes, capital expenditures and changes in working capital

¹⁷ On First Call, the S&P500's symbol is SPX (before one-time charges) and SPXR (after one-time charges). The Russell 2000's symbol is RUSAO. ¹⁸ Conceptually, the correct way to do this is to compare historical stock and index values with historical *projected* EPS. We are not aware of a database accessible to University of Pennsylvania students that provides historical projected EPS. FactSet is a database used by most investment banks and investment management firms that contains this information. An alternative approach is to calculate historical forward P/Es using actual results. One could also simply compare historical trailing P/Es.

Where to find it

Shares outstanding: front page of most recent

Shares outstanding: balance sheet of most recent

Current preferred stock price: Yahoo Finance

Diluted and basic shares outstanding: Most recent

10-Q or quarterly earnings press release.

Book value of debt: Most recent 10-Q or 10-K.

Include both short-term and long-term debt.

Convertible debt price: Yahoo Finance (usually);

Current stock price: Yahoo Finance

Current stock price: Yahoo Finance

otherwise Bloomberg

10-Q or 10-K

10-Q or 10-K

	T (T T	
	<u>Enterprise Value</u>	
Mark	et value of common equity	(No. of shares outstanding)x (current stock price)
	+	
Mark	et value of preferred stock	(No. of preferred shares outstanding)
	+	x (current preferred stock price)
Mark	et value of employee stock options	(Diluted shares outstanding - basic shares outstanding) x (current stock price)
	+	(1) (2) (3)
Mark	tet value of debt	Book value of total debt on balance sheet
	=	(Exception: Convertible debtone needs to calculate market value) (4)
Enter	prise Value (5) (6)	

(1) Because employee stock options do not trade, one cannot directly observe the value of these options. The technique presented understates the value of the options because it assumes that the options must be immediately exercised. (For instance, the technique wrongly assumes that out-of-the money options have no value.) In some cases, an annual report/10-K will give enough information to calculate the market value of outstanding options using the Black-Scholes formula.

(2) Ignore shares that represent the assumed conversion of convertible debt. See Chock Full O'Nuts EV calculation for an example.

(3) Be aware that if a company had negative net income in the most recent quarter, the company will have calculated basic and diluted EPS using the same number of shares outstanding. The diluted shares outstanding will exclude the assumed exercise of options because such an exercise will be antidilutive to EPS.

- (4) The book value of debt generally approximates the market value of debt. It is therefore usually acceptable to simply substitute the book value for market value of debt. The most common exceptions are distressed debt and convertible debt.
- (5) Often, analysts will add minority interest (as shown on the balance sheet) when calculating enterprise value. This is valid when calculating enterprise multiples as long as the numerator (e.g., EBIT, EBITDA) is not reduced by minority interest expense.
- (6) Very often, analysts will subtract cash when calculating enterprise values. Avoid doing this unless there is a significant excess amount of cash on the balance sheet. EV is not meant to be the liquidation value of company. All companies must maintain a certain required cash balance to run the business on an ongoing basis. This "required cash" cannot be used to pay down debt. If one chooses to subtract cash from the enterprise value, one must exclude interest income from EBIT or EBITDA when calculating enterprise multiples.

ENTERPRISE VALUE CALCULATION Chock Full O' Nuts (NYSE: CHF)						
Common shares outstanding Price of CHF as of 4/30/99	10,830,922 9 7/16	<u>Sources:</u> Front page of 1/31/99 10-Q Yahoo Finance				
Market value of common equity	\$ 102,216,826					
Book value of 7% convertible debt due 2012 Price of CHF7D12 as of 4/30/99	51,693,000 118 3/8 	10-K: 7/31/98 Yahoo Finance				
Market value of 7% convertible debt due 2012	\$ 61,191,589					
Book value of 8% convertible debt due 2006 Less: 8% CV debt that was redeemed on 12/4/98	37,240,000 (5,000,000)	10-K: 7/31/98 10-Q: 1/31/99				
Book value of 8% CV debt as of 1/31/99 Price of CHF8I06 as of 4/30/99	32,240,000 121 1/2	Yahoo Finance				
Market value of 8% convertible debt due 2006	\$ 39,171,600					
Total book value of debt <i>Less:</i> Book value of convertible debt	90,355,437 (83,933,000)	10-Q: 1/31/99				
Book value of non-convertible debt	\$ 6,422,437					
Diluted shares outstanding Less: Basic shares outstanding	21,181,000 (10,521,000)	10-Q: 1/31/99				
Shares representing options or conversion of CV deb Less: Shares representing conversion of CV debt	ot 10,660,000 (10,408,000)	10-K: 7/31/98				
Increase in # shares by assuming options are exercised (treasury stock method)	252,000					
Price of CHF as of 4/30/99	9 7/16	Yahoo Finance				
Value of options using treasury stock method $^{(1)}$	\$ 2,378,250					
ENTERPRISE VALUE	\$ 211,380,702					

Notes:

(1) The treasury stock method understates the value of employee stock options because it assumes that the options must be immediately exercised. CHF, in its 7/31/98 10-K disclosed that there were 401,000 unexercised employee stock options at an average exercise price of \$6.52. If CHF had also disclosed information on the term-to-maturity of the options, one could calculate a more accurate (and higher) value of the options using the Black-Scholes formula.

C								
EV/Sales	 Calculate sales based on trailing four-quarter revenue While EV/Sales on forward sales would be useful, it is difficult to get consensus sales estimates 							
	 Useful when the company being analyzed is unprofitable and when: Most companies in the industry are similarly unprofitable, or Most companies in the industry are profitable <u>and</u> the company being analyzed is expected to become equally profitable 							
	• Not useful for an unprofitable company that will forever languish behind its industry peers							
Price/Book	Calculation of Price/Book and Price/Tangible book							
	Price / Book = Current ÷ stock price Book value of ÷ # of common shares common outstanding at stockholders' equity balance sheet date							
	Price / = Current ÷ Tangible book stock price Book value of - Intangible assets common stockholders' equity + # of common shares outstanding at balance sheet date							

- Book value can be based on either the net worth or tangible net worth of the firm
 Conceptually, tangible net worth is a better measure of book value since intangible assets are less likely to be convertible into cash
 - Tangible book value can give an approximate liquidation value of a company
- Significant evidence that low Price/Book stocks outperform the market.¹⁹ Two main explanations offered are:
 - Low Price/Book companies have a low Price/Book ratio because they are riskier
 - There is a positive relationship between stock returns and risk
 - Therefore, it is natural that low Price/Book stocks outperform high Price/Book stocks²⁰
 - Price/Book ratios (and implicitly stock returns) mean revert²¹
 - Investment returns mean revert because the dynamics of the marketplace always trend towards perfect competition
 - Competitive advantage of high Price/Book companies erodes
 - Poor stock performance of low Price/Book companies forces management to improve operating performance and, consequently, stock performance

¹⁹ Many papers have been written about the Price/Book effect on stock returns including:

Rosenberg, Barr, Kenneth Reid and Ronald Lanstein. "Persuasive Evidence of Market Inefficiency," *Journal of Portfolio Management*, Vol. 11, No. 3, Spring 1985, pp. 9-17.

Keim, Donald B. "Stock market regularities: A synthesis of the evidence and explanations," in: E. Dimson (ed.) *Stock Market Anomalies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988, pp. 16-39.

Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French. "The Cross-Section of Expected Stock Returns," *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 47, No. 2, June 1992, pp. 427-465.

²⁶ Fama and French (1992) found that low Price/Book stocks outperformed on both an absolute and risk-adjusted basis. They measured risk using beta, which is not the appropriate measure of risk if you believe this first explanation for the Price/Book effect.

²¹ A discussion of this phenomenon can be found in: Haugen, Robert A. *The New Finance: The Case Against Efficient Markets*. 2nd ed. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 1999.

Price/Book (continued)	 Price/Book ratios, like P/Es, can be distorted by debt High Price/Book stocks (Price/Book > 1) may appropriately reflect compensation to shareholders for financial risk caused by leverage Very low Price/Book stocks (Price/Book < 1) may properly reflect magnification of negative returns caused by leverage High Price/Book stocks are not necessarily overpriced Low Price/Book stocks are not necessarily underpriced 						
	Rules of Thumb: Leverage and Price/Book Ratios						
	If Price/Book > 1, then leverage overstated the Price/Book ratio If Price/Book = 1, then leverage did not affect the Price/Book ratio If Price/Book < 1, then leverage understated the Price/Book ratio						
	 See the following page for an illustration of the distorting effect of leverage on Price/Book ratios 						
EV/Invested Capital	 EV/Invested capital ratio adjusts for the debt distortion of Price/Book ratio Invested capital = (book value of debt + book value of preferred stock + book value of common stock) Like Price/Book, EV/Invested capital can be calculated on a tangible book basis. Simply subtract intangible assets from invested capital 						
MVA/Capitalized EVA	• Calculation of MVA/Capitalized EVA ^{22,23}						
	Market Value Added = Enterprise value – Invested capital Economic Value Added = (EBIT x (1-T)) – (k _{WACC} x Invested capital) Capitalized EVA = EVA / k _{WACC}						
	<i>Where:</i> k_{WACC} = Weighted average cost of capital measured as a percentage Capitalized EVA = (EVA of last four reported quarters) / k_{WACC}						
	• Conceptually, MVA = Capitalized EVA + PV of projected growth in EVA						
	 MVA/Capitalized EVA is an indicator of the growth in EVA that is incorporated into a company's stock price²⁴ Ratio > 1 indicates that the market is projecting EVA to grow Ratio = 1 indicates that the market is assuming no growth in EVA Ratio < 1 and > 0 indicates that the market is projecting EVA to decline Ratio < 0 indicates that the market projects future EVA to be negative 						
	• Compare one's expectations of EVA growth to that incorporated in the stock price.						

If different, the stock may be mispriced

²² MVA and EVA incorporate several accounting adjustments to Invested capital and tax-affected EBIT. We discuss these adjustments further in the

EVA section of the report (pp. 27-29).

²³ See Appendix III for an excerpt from the Firearms Training Systems, Inc. (FATS) stock research report written by Kenneth Ruskin. In the report, Mr. Ruskin values FATS shares by measuring MVA relative to capitalized EVA. ²⁴ The interpretations given to the following MVA/Capitalized EVA ratios assume that Capitalized EVA is a positive number.

EFFECT OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE ON PRICE/BOOK RATIO

Price/Book <u>Below</u> 1								
	Company							
<u>(\$000,000s)</u>		<u>A</u>		<u>B</u>		<u>C</u>		<u>D</u>
Book value of debt	\$	-	\$	15.0	\$	25.0	\$	35.0
Book value of equity	\$	50.0	\$	35.0	\$	25.0	\$	15.0
Invested capital	\$	50.0	\$	50.0	\$	50.0	\$	50.0
Enterprise value of firm	\$	45.0	\$	45.0	\$	45.0	\$	45.0
Market value of equity	\$	45.0	\$	30.0	\$	20.0	\$	10.0
	Ŧ		т		Ŧ		Ŧ	* • • •
EV/Invested capital		0.90		0.90		0.90		0.90
Price/Book		0.90		0.86		0.80		0.67
When Price/Book < 1, then leverage caused Price/Book ratio to be deflated.								

Price/Book of 1								
	Company							
<u>(\$000,000s)</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>				
Book value of debt	\$ -	\$ 10.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 35.0				
Book value of equity	\$ 50.0 	\$ 40.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 15.0				
Invested capital	\$ 50.0	\$ 50.0	\$ 50.0	\$ 50.0				
Enterprise value of firm	\$ 50.0	\$ 50.0	\$ 50.0	\$ 50.0				
Market value of equity	\$ 50.0	\$ 40.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 15.0				
EV/Invested capital	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Price/Book	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
When Price/Book = 1, then								

leverage did not affect the Price/Book ratio.

Price/Book <u>Above</u> 1								
	Company							
<u>(\$000,000s)</u>	<u>A</u>	B	<u>C</u>	D				
Book value of debt	\$ -	\$ 10.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 35.0				
Book value of equity	\$ 50.0	\$ 40.0	\$ 25.0	\$ 15.0				
Invested capital	\$ 50.0	\$ 50.0	\$ 50.0	\$ 50.0				
Enterprise value of firm	\$ 70.0	\$ 70.0	\$ 70.0	\$ 70.0				
Market value of equity	\$ 70.0	\$ 60.0	\$ 45.0	\$ 35.0				
EV/Invested capital	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40				
-								
Price/Book	1.40	1.50	1.80	2.33				
When Price Book > 1, then								

leverage caused Price/Book ratio to be inflated.

Notes:

- Assumption is that all changes in the market value of the firm accrue to equityholders.
- Reality is that part of the changes in market value accrue to the bondholders.
- The amount that accrues to bondholders is not material for these calculations.
- The amount would be material if the firm were perceived to be financially distressed.

Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) Introduction

- The value of a firm is its discounted future free cash flows
- Therefore, two items are needed to do a DCF:
 - A discount rate (the cost of capital)
 - Free cash flow projections
- The following is a summary of the three types of DCF analysis and the appropriate discount rate and FCF to be used in each case

Type of DCF Analysis	Discount Rate for FCFs	Discount Rate for Interest Tax Shields	Free Cash Flow Measure to Use	Comments
Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC)	k _{WACC}	Does not apply	FCF _u	Best for companies with a constant debt-to-value ratio
Adjusted Present Value (APV)	k _u	Usually both k _d and k _u	FCF _u	Best for companies that do <u>not</u> have a constant debt-to- value ratio
Flow to Equity	k _e	Does not apply	FCF _{lev}	Best when analyzing financial service companies where it is difficult to distinguish between operating interest expense and financial interest expense
$\begin{array}{ll} \underline{Definitions:} \\ k_e & Cost of equ \\ k_u & Cost of unl \\ k_d & Cost of det \\ k_{WACC} & Weighted a \\ FCF_u & Free cash f \\ FCF_{lev} & Free cash f \end{array}$	tity evered equity of overage cost of lows of the u lows of the le	y (Cost of unle of capital nlevered firm evered firm	vered capital)	

THE THREE TYPES OF DISCOUNTED CASH FLOW ANALYSIS

Cost of Capital

- The discount rate used in a DCF is the firm's cost of capital
 - Problem is that there are several costs of capital
 - Cost of capital choice depends on which of the three DCF methods is used
 - In this report, we will discuss only the WACC method
 - WACC incorporates both the cost of debt and the cost of equity

Cost of Debt (k_d)

- The cost of debt is the average yield on the company's debt
 Conceptually correct method
 - Calculate the yield-to-maturity of the company's publicly-traded bonds based on current prices
 - Use the debt footnote in 10-K to calculate the interest rate on all other debt
 - Calculate the weighted average cost of debt
 - Shortcut used by practitioners
 - Use the debt footnote of the 10-K to calculate the interest rate for all debt
 - Calculate the weighted average cost of debt

lost of Equity (k_e)	• The cost of equity is an investor's required rate of return for holding equity in a fi
	• Unlike the cost of debt which is directly observable, the cost of equity is unobservable
	• Several methods exist to calculate the cost of equity, with the Capital Asset Pricin Model (CAPM) the most popular
apital Asset Pricing odel (CAPM)	 CAPM states that investors face two types of risks: Systematic risk Unsystematic risk
	 Systematic risk Systematic risk consists of macroeconomic variables such as commodity pric and industrial production levels that affect all firms (though in varying degree Systematic risk is unavoidable and affects all (risky) assets Systematic risk <u>cannot</u> be diversified away, and therefore: Investors <u>are</u> rewarded for assuming systematic risk
	 Unsystematic risk Unsystematic risk is firm-specific or specific to a small group of companies Examples include the risk of a labor strike, the risk of market share loss, or the risk of an inept company management Unsystematic risk <u>can</u> be diversified away, and therefore: Investors are <u>not</u> rewarded for assuming unsystematic risk
	 Because there is only one risk for which investors are rewarded (systematic risk), CAPM is a one-factor model The one factor is the return on the market portfolio Beta (β) measures the responsiveness of a stock's return to the return on the market portfolio The relationship between beta and expected return on equity can be expressed mathematically:
	CAPM: $k_e = r_f + (\beta x ERP)$ where: $k_e =$ the cost of equity $r_f =$ the expected return on the riskless asset, or risk-free rate $\beta =$ the beta of the stock ERP = the expected equity risk premium, or the expected by which
	EKP = the expected equity risk premium, or the amount by which investors expect the future return on equities to exceed that on the riskless asset
alculating Cost of quity using CAPM	 Several methods are used to calculate k_e using CAPM. The variation in approach is due to different:

- Estimates of the equity risk premium
- We believe that the method advocated by Robert Holthausen²⁵ is the conceptually correct approach for calculating k_e, and we present his method on the following three pages

- $r_f = (long-term T-bond yield) (maturity premium of 1.38\%)$
 - We recommend using the 20-year T-bond yield as the long-term yield
 - Conceptually, the duration of the risk-free asset should equal the duration of the cash flows being discounted. Because companies are long-lived assets, 30-year T-bond yields would be the conceptually correct yield
 - Nevertheless, we recommend using 20-year bond yields because we have a much longer history, and presumably more accurate estimate, of the 20-year maturity premium than we do the 30-year maturity premium²⁶
 - 20-year bonds are essentially as effective as 30-year bonds in capturing the long-term expectation of inflation. The difference in yields between the two bonds is primarily due to non-inflation related factors²⁷
 - 20-year T-bond yields can be found in the middle of the C section of the WSJ
 - We define maturity premium in the figure below



THE RISK-FREE RATE (r_f)

²⁵ Robert Holthausen, Nomura Securities Co. Professor of Accounting and Finance, teaches Security Analysis at the Wharton School. Professor Holthausen's course, as well as his work-in-progress textbook, *Security Analysis: How to Analyze Accounting and Market Data to Value Securities* (written with Mark Zmijewski), are very useful resources for understanding DCF valuation techniques.

²⁶ We have a 73-year history of 20-year bond yields versus a 22-year history of 30-year bond yields. 30-year Treasury bonds were only issued beginning in February 1977.

²⁷ The primary factor is the different maturity premia between the two securities. Other factors include the coupon rates of the two bonds and the higher liquidity of 30-year T-bonds.

Beta (β) Beta measures the responsiveness of a stock's return to that of the market $\beta > 1$ implies that a stock's price movements are usually in the same direction as those of the market, but of a greater magnitude $\beta > 0$ and < 1 implies that a stock's price movements are usually in the same direction as those of the market, but of a lesser magnitude $\beta < 0$ implies that a stock's returns are negatively correlated with returns of the market Stock betas < 0 are very unusual. Gold stocks are a rare example Calculation of beta Beta can be calculated two ways, which generate equivalent values Ordinary least squared (OLS) regression Using the equation below $Cov(r_{a}, r_{m})$ β

$$B = \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{r}_{m})}{\sigma(\mathbf{r}_{m})}$$

- r_s = historical returns of a stock
- = historical returns of the market r_m

 $\sigma(\mathbf{r}_{m}) =$ variance of the historical market returns

- When calculating beta, use total returns (with dividends) rather than price returns
- Bloomberg calculates beta using price returns
- Datastream allows one to calculate beta using total returns²⁸
- Conceptually, the returns being measured should be returns in excess of the risk-free rate (30-day T-bills) during the period, rather than absolute returns
 - This adjustment rarely results in a material difference
- Time period and frequency of returns when calculating beta
 - Generally, the longer the history of returns the better, but
 - Avoid using returns over time periods when a company's operations or capital structure were significantly different than they are now
 - Generally, little accuracy is gained by having more than five years of monthly data or two years of weekly data
 - Use weekly or monthly returns, rather than daily returns
 - Daily returns show evidence of statistical noise and autocorrelation
- Adjusted betas
 - Stock beta estimates are riddled with imprecision
 - High standard error on most individual company betas
 - Using industry betas removes much of the standard error problem²⁹
 - Mean reversion of betas³⁰
 - Evidence that betas revert to a mean value of one
 - We recommend using the Bloomberg adjustment of: $\beta_{adj} = 0.33 + 0.67 \text{ x } \beta_{hist}$

²⁸ Datastream is located in Lippincott Library. In Appendix II, we provide an example of calculating betas using Datastream.

²⁹ In order to calculate an industry beta, one must calculate the historical beta for each industry participant and unlever each beta to get an industry unlevered beta. The industry unlevered beta can then be levered to reflect the financial risk of the firm being analyzed. The correct techniques to unlever beta are quite complicated, and we recommend Wharton's Security Analysis course and R. Holthausen's textbook to learn these techniques. ³⁰ Marshall Blume, Howard Butcher III Professor of Financial Management at the Wharton School, was one the first academics to study this phenomenon. See: Blume, Marshall E. "On the Assessment of Risk," Journal of Finance, Vol. 26, No. 2, March 1971, pp. 1-10, and "Betas and their Regression Tendencies," Journal of Finance, Vol. 30, No. 3, June 1973, pp. 785-795.

Equity Risk Premium	• The equity risk premium measures the additional return investors require to compensate themselves for the risk of investing in equities rather than the risk-free asset
	 Calculation of the ERP Use the historical arithmetic mean difference between total returns on the S&P 500 and total returns on 30-day T-bills³¹ Over 73 years (January 1926 – December 1998), the arithmetic mean difference has been 9.35%
	 Some argue that the prolonged bull market of the 1990s is evidence that the expected ERP has declined to a range of 5-7% (3-5% measured against long-term T-bonds) We believe that there is inadequate evidence of a decline in the ERP Over the past 73 years, there has been no meaningful correlation between the P/E of the market and the subsequent year's realized equity risk premium³² We recommend using the historical arithmetic mean ERP of 9.35%
Example of Calculating k_e	<i>Example:</i> Calculation of k _e for Thorley, Inc.
	20-year Treasury bond yield is currently 5.93%. Thorley's historical beta (based on two years of weekly returns) is 1.43.
	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Steps in Performing a DCF Valuation	• Below we outline a five-step procedure to value a firm using a discounted cash flow model (WACC method)
Step 1: Calculate WACC	• WACC is the average cost of capital for a firm weighted by the amount of debt and equity in the firm's capital structure
	WACC = $[k_e x E/V] + [k_d x (1-T) x D/V]$
	where: $D = Market value of debt$
	E = Market value of equity
	V = Market value of firm $T = Marginal tax rate$

• The market value of debt typically approximates its book value and therefore it is acceptable to use the book value of debt as D

 ³¹ Conceptually, income returns on T-bills should be used. Total returns and income returns are the same for 30-day T-bills.
 ³² Ibbotson Associates. *Stocks, Bonds, Bills and Inflation: Valuation Edition, 1999 Yearbook.* Chicago: Ibbotson Associates, 1999.

 Generally, project yearly free cash flows until the second derivative of FCF growth is zero (i.e., until free cash flow growth is zero or constant) Ideally, project free cash flows for enough years so that the value of the firm is not overly dependent on the terminal value calculation If the company being analyzed is in a cyclical industry, capture at least two full economic cycles in the model While 10- and 15-year DCFs are common, models need not be done in multiples of five. A 6-year, 11-year, or 23-year model may be more appropriate for a firm
 Calculate the unlevered free cash flows (FCF_u) It is necessary to have income statements for all of the years projected It is ideal to have balance sheets and cash flow statements for all of the years projected

 The balance sheet and cash flow statement allow one to explicitly capture capital expenditures and changes in working capital



- Practitioners often approximate unlevered cash taxes by simply using EBIT x T. This may be materially incorrect if the company has significant deferred tax assets or deferred tax liabilities. Net operating losses are the most common example of a deferred tax asset. Deferred tax liabilities commonly arise from the different depreciation schedules for tax reporting and GAAP purposes.
- Net working capital should exclude all interest-bearing liabilities.
- Cash and interest income: At the valuation date, "excess" cash on the balance sheet should be subtracted from debt. The remaining "required" cash is part of the company's working capital and Δ in required cash should be included in Δ in working capital. Similarly, interest income on required cash should be included in FCF_u. "Required" cash is the cash that is necessary to keep on hand for general corporate purposes.

Step 4: Calculate a Terminal Value

- The terminal value represents the value of the firm at the end of the last period of the model
- The terminal value can be based on one of the following:
 - Free cash flow perpetuity
 - Price multiple

Step 4: Terminal Value (continued)	 Free cash flow perpetuity Growing perpetuity: (FCF_T x (1+g)) / (k_{WACC} - g), where g = growth rate No-growth perpetuity: FCF_T / k_{WACC} No-growth perpetuities are usually inappropriate. The discount rate is a nominal rate that incorporates expected inflation. A no-growth perpetuity therefore assumes a perpetual deterioration in real FCF ³³
	Calculation of terminal value using perpetuity formulas 13-year DCF model
	FCF perpetuity (no-growth)Terminal value at end of year 13=FCF at year 13 ÷ k_{WACC}
	FCF perpetuity (5% growth)Terminal value at end of year 13= (FCF at year 13 x 1.05) ÷ (k_{WACC} - 0.05)
	 Price multiple The most appropriate multiple is either EV/EBIT or EV/EBITDA Avoid using P/Es. P/Es value only the equity portion of a firm³⁴ A company's current price multiple is not necessarily a good proxy for its price multiple in future years As earnings/cash flow growth slows or accelerates over time, price multiples may contract or expand
	• The final year of FCF projections may be highly sensitive to points in a business cycle. If business cycles are incorporated into FCF projections:

- Price multiples: Adjust multiple upward if at the cyclical bottom, and vice versa
- Perpetuities: Normalize the FCF to a mid-cycle level when calculating the terminal value. A better solution may be to project FCFs until a mid-cycle year
- Discounting formula:

Step 5: Discount the FCFs

and Terminal Value at k_{WACC}

PV of FCF_u at year $t = \text{FCF}_{u,t} / (1 + k_{\text{WACC}})^t$

- $V_{firm} = (PV \text{ of future FCFs}) + (PV \text{ of terminal value})$
- V_{equity} = V_{firm} V_{debt}
- Assumes: 1) FCFs occur at the end of year, and 2) valuation date is at beginning of year 1
 - If assumption is that FCFs occur mid-year, simply take V_{firm} calculated using end-of-year discounting and multiply it by $(1+k_{WACC})^{1/2}$
 - If the valuation date is not exactly at the beginning of year 1, simply multiply V_{firm} by $(1+k_{WACC})^{U^{365}}$, where t = # of days after the beginning of year 1 (e.g., if valuation date is February 4, then t = 35)
 - Both adjustments can be made together

³³ This is not to say that all companies must have FCFs that grow into perpetuity. A no-growth, or even declining, perpetuity is appropriate when a company is expected to see deteriorating fundamentals.

 $^{^{34}}$ A P/E is the appropriate multiple for a terminal value when using the uncommon Flow to Equity DCF method.

	CONTRACT MANUFACTURING COMPANY - DCF ANALYSIS												
Notes:	Fiscal Year: December (\$000,000s)	1998A	1999E	2000E	2001E	2002E	2003E	2004E	2005E	2006E	2007E	2008E	2009E
	Total revenues	743	1,048	1,414	1,909	2,539	3,301	4,226	5,324	6,549	7,924	9,429	11,127
	Cost of sales	(581)	(826)	(1,124)	(1,489)	(2,037)	(2,654)	(3,406)	(4,302)	(5,304)	(6,450)	(7,694)	(9,079)
	Gross profit	162	222	290	420	503	647	820	1,022	1,244	1,474	1,735	2,047
	Gross margin	21.8%	21.2%	20.5%	22.0%	19.8%	19.6%	19.4%	19.2%	19.0%	18.6%	18.4%	18.4%
	SG&A	(45)	(63)	(85)	(113)	(147)	(188)	(241)	(303)	(367)	(444)	(519)	(611)
	EBIT	117	159	205	307	356	459	579	719	878	1,030	1,216	1,436
	EBIT %	15.7%	15.2%	14.5%	16.1%	14.0%	13.9%	13.7%	13.5%	13.4%	13.0%	12.9%	12.9%
	Taxes	(42)	(58)	(75)	(112)	(130)	(167)	(211)	(262)	(320)	(376)	(444)	(524)
	Tax rate	35.9%	36.5%	36.5%	36.5%	36.5%	36.5%	36.5%	36.5%	36.5%	36.5%	36.5%	36.5%
	Tax-affected EBIT	75	101	130	195	226	291	368	456	557	654	772	912
	+ Depreciation	118	131	149	174	195	213	241	266	312	377	449	506
	- Δ in net working assets	(38)	(30)	(40)	(123)	(89)	(182)	(123)	(229)	(182)	(350)	(443)	(301)
	- Δ in maintenance PP&E	(118)	(131)	(149)	(174)	(195)	(213)	(241)	(266)	(312)	(377)	(449)	(506)
	- Δ in growth PP&E	(34)	(88)	(92)	(155)	(62)	(114)	(171)	(77)	(380)	(275)	(442)	(126)
	Free cash flows (unlevered)	3	(17)	(1)	(83)	75	(5)	74	151	(5)	29	(112)	486
	Devenue growth rate	200/	410/	250/	250/	220/	200/	280/	260/	220/	210/	100/	1.90/
	SG&A as a % of revenue	50% 6.1%	41% 6.0%	53% 6.0%	5.9%	5.8%	5.7%	28% 5.7%	20% 5.7%	23% 5.6%	5.6%	5.5%	5.5%
(1)	Not working assots	012	042	082	1 105	1 102	1 276	1 400	1 728	1.010	2 260	2 703	3 003
(1)	Change in NWA	38	30	982 40	1,103	1,195	1,370	1,499	229	1,910	2,200	443	3,003
	Average annualized NWA turnover	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.8	2.2	2.6	2.9	3.3	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.9
	Gross PP&E	728	946	1,187	1,516	1,774	2,101	2,513	2,856	3,547	4,200	5,090	5,722
	Accumulated depreciation	(117)	(248)	(397)	(570)	(766)	(979)	(1,220)	(1,486)	(1,798)	(2,176)	(2,625)	(3,130)
	Net PP&E	611	699	790	946	1,008	1,122	1,293	1,369	1,749	2,024	2,466	2,592
	Average annualized net PP&E turnover	1.3	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.6	3.1	3.5	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.4
	Period	-	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Discounted free cash flows	-	(15)	(1)	(60)	49	(3)	39	/1	(2)	11	(38)	150
	SHARE PRICE CALCULATION		WACC	CALCULA	ATION								
	WACC	11.3%	D/V on	12/31/95 (2	2)		6.3%	(3)	Historical b	eta	0 (7 - 0)		0.83
	Sum of discounted FCFs	0.713	D/V on	12/31/90			17.9%		Adjusted be	eta (0.55 +	0.67 x p _h) romium (E	DD)	0.89
	PV of terminal value	2,991	D/V on	12/31/97	D		21.4% 17.9%		HIStorical e	quity fisk p	nennun (E	Kr)	9.33%
		2,771	D/ V OII	12/31/90 (1	·)		17.970		$K_e = r_f + \beta_a$	_{di} x ERP			
	Enterprise value as of 1/1/99	3,191	Assume	d D/V for li	fe of firm		20.0%		Cost of equ	ity (K _e)			12.9%
	Adjustment to bring value as of 5/1/99	116											
(5)	Enterprise value	3,307	20-year	T-bond yiel	d as of 4/30)/1999	5.93%	,	Weighted a	verage yiel	d of debt (I	K _d)	5 001
(5)	- Deul	2 679	Less: Dick fro	Historical n	naturity pre	mium	<u>(1.38%)</u>		(using debt	tootnote of	12/31/98	10-K)	7.9%
	Number of shares	61.7	NISK-IIC	$c_{1alc}(1_f)$			4.55%		Assumed II.	arginai tax	Tate		50.570
	Stock value	\$ 43							WACC [E/	V x K _e] + [D/V x K _d x	(1-T)]	11.3%

Notes:

(1) Net working assets (NWA) = Current assets plus non-current assets (except for PP&E) minus non-interest bearing liabilities. Net deferred tax liability is included in NWA.

(2) Value (V) is the market value (rather than book value) of the firm.

(3) Historical beta is measured by regressing the weekly total returns of CMC in excess of T-bill returns against the weekly total returns of the S&P 500 in excess of T-bill returns. Weekly returns are measured for the period October 1996 through April 1999. CMC's capital structure was significantly different prior to October 1996.

(4) D/V ratio at 12/31/98 is based on a closing stock price of 38 1/8.

(5) Debt is not net of cash because we assume that the cash on the balance sheet is necessary to generate future free cash flows. The interest income from cash is included in revenues.

	1 460 27
Economic Value Added (EVA) ³⁵	• EVA is a DCF-based performance measurement and valuation tool
	 EVA = Return on capital – Cost of capital Return on capital is defined as: Net operating profits after taxes (NOPAT) Cost of capital is defined as: (k_{WACC} x Invested capital_{adj}) k_{WACC} is weighted using <u>market</u> values of debt and equity even though it is being multiplied by invested capital, which is based on <u>book</u> values of debt and equity
	• EVA can be approximated as (EBIT – unlevered cash taxes) – (k _{WACC} x Invested capital)
	 The premise behind EVA is that for a company to create shareholder value, it must generate positive EVA A company can have positive net income but negative EVA. This occurs when a company's NOPAT is not large enough to cover the company's cost of capital
	 EVA and DCF valuation models are mathematically equivalent EVA and DCF models generate the same value of the firm EVA models discount future EVA. DCF models discount future free cash flow Both models require free cash flow projections and a cost of capital estimate Free cash flow projections can be converted into EVA projections fairly easily Compare the EVA model on page 29 with the DCF model on page 26. Note that the DCF model's free cash flow projections and WACC calculation are also used in the EVA model
	 EVA tends to provide a more useful measure of a company's performance in a particular period than does free cash flow EVA tends to be more interpretable, meaningful and stable than FCF High capital expenditures can cause FCF to be negative while EVA is positive. Because EVA does not immediately penalize companies for large capital expenditures, EVA may be a better indicator of a firm's economic performance in a particular period
	 Several accounting adjustments are needed to arrive at NOPAT and invested capital_{adj}. For every NOPAT adjustment, there is a corresponding and required invested capital adjustment These adjustments are <u>unnecessary</u> when using EVA as a valuation tool. The net present value of making a NOPAT and related invested capital adjustment is always zero These adjustments may be useful when using EVA as a performance measurement tool. They may cause the calculated EVA to be a more accurate reflection of economic performance in a particular period

³⁵ Two good resources on EVA are:

Jackson, Al, Michael J. Mauboussin and Charles R. Wolf. *EVA Primer*. New York: CS First Boston, February 20, 1996. This report is available in the Fellows Fund office. Students may photocopy the report.

Stewart, G. Bennett. The Quest for Value: the EVA Management Guide. New York: HarperCollins, 1991.

Definition of NOPAT and Invested Capital_{adj}



DuPont Model

- The growing popularity of EVA and other similar new measures has caused companies and investors to increasingly focus on rates of return on capital
- The DuPont Model is a useful method of separating the return on capital into its component parts. We outline the DuPont Model below

	Ι	DuPont M	odel	
Return on	= <u>EBIT</u> x	Sales	x Assets	x <u>Net Income</u>
Invested Capital (%)	Sales	Assets	Invested Capital	Pretax Income

• The model demonstrates that a low margin (EBIT/Sales) company can still generate strong returns on capital if it has high asset turns (Sales/Assets) and/or is able to finance a large portion of its assets with non-interest bearing current liabilities (indicated by a high Assets/Invested capital ratio)

Fiscal Year: Decembe	er (\$000,000s)	1999E	2000E	2001E	2002E	2003E	2004E	2005E	2006E	2007E	2008E	2009E	2010
NOPAT (Tax-affected	1 EBIT)	101	130	195	226	291	368	456	557	654	772	912	
Invested Capital at BC Capital charge (Invest	OP ed Capital x WACC)	1,523 172	1,641 185	1,772 200	2,050 232	2,201 249	2,498 282	2,792 315	3,097 350	3,659 414	4,285 484	5,169 584	5,
EVA (NOPAT - capi	tal charge)	(71)	(55)	(5)	(6)	43	85	141	207	241	288	328	
Return on Beginning	Invested Capital	6.6%	7.9%	11.0%	11.0%	13.2%	14.7%	16.4%	18.0%	17.9%	18.0%	17.6%	
(KOBIC) Weighted Average Co (WACC)	ost of Capital	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	11.3%	
Spread (ROBIC - WA	CC)	(4.7%)	(3.4%)	(0.3%)	(0.3%)	1.9%	3.4%	5.0%	6.7%	6.6%	6.7%	6.3%	
EVA terminal value [] PV of EVA terminal v Cumulative PV of EV Total PV of EVA + Beginning capital = Corporate value as of + Adjustment to bri Corporate value - Debt = Equity value Number of shares Stock value	 EVA₂₀₀₉ x (1+g)]/(WACC - g) value A Stream @ WACC of 1/1/99 ng value as of 5/1/99 Note that the EVA-derived irm value is exactly the same a the DCF-derived firm value. (3,307 = 3,307) See page 26 Also note that: Invested capital at 1/1/10 + EVA terminal value = DCF terminal value (5,595 + 4,118 = 9,713) 	4,118 1,268 400 1,668 1,523 3,191 116 3,307 (513) 2,678 61.7 \$ 43 s		 DCF project simple to dett free c the D So wh better flows than F useful betwee make Invest 12/31 NOP/ Thou; the ca marked Whilk Whilk 	and EVA va cted for both er to use the ermine the v ash flows to CF model. my would any indicator of (see p. 26), FCF in meas crosscheck en ROBIC a sense to rev ted capital a /98 10-K). aAT - unlever gh invested (pital charge <u>et</u> values). now how we	methods (F DCF methods alue of the f determine t vone bother value creat but with con uring value to the assur and WACC isse the DCF BOP 1999 Invested cap ed free cash capital is (es by multiply calculated V VA are mat	WACC of 11 hematically beneficially beneficially beneficially beneficially beneficially beneficially beneficially beneficially beneficially beneficially beneficially beneficially beneficially beneficially	ematically e invested cap DCF model, ' /A model re he firm, wh /A analysis? FCFs. For i stable EVA h year. In a e in the DCl usible as pro- te of equity subsequent i vered free c e <u>book</u> value capital by V	quivalent. S pital in an E' one simply of quires sever ich value wi ! Typically, instance, CM growth. In 1 ddition, an E F model. Fo ojected in th + book value year = Inves ash flows ar e of debt and WACC (which DCF model.	VA model), i liscounts the al more step Il be the sam , it is becaus IC has volat this case, EV EVA model or r instance, if e EVA model e of debt (fr ted capital in e derived in d equity, we ch is weighted	ist flows mu it may seem e free cash fl s after proje e as that giv e EVAs are ile free cash /A is probat can serve as f the spread el, then it wo om CMC's a prior year the DCF mo still calculat ed based on	ist be ows cting ven by a a bly better a build + bdel. te	

APPENDIX I

CHECKLIST OF DATA REQUIRED FOR A RESEARCH REPORT PRESENTED TO THE WHARTON FELLOWS FUND

- A research report presented to the Wharton Fellows Fund should contain the information below
- The checklist is simply the minimum required information for a stock research report. It is highly likely that the analyst will want to present additional information and analyses

\checkmark	CHECKLIST		
	Current price of stock		Trailing P/E
	52-week range		Forward P/E
	# of shares outstanding		Trailing EV/EBIT(DA)
	Equity market capitalization		Forward EV/EBITA(DA)
	Average daily trading volume		(Tangible) Book value per share
	Price target (for stock)		Price/(Tangible)Book
	Enterprise Value		EV/Invested capital
	Debt/EV ratio		LTM Return on invested capital (%)
	Stock price performance graph a Qualitative discussion of the rec Income statement model project Calculation of DSOs and days in Quarterly income statements for and last two annual income state	going bac commend ting earni nventory the curr ements current f	ek at least one year ed stock (brevity is prized) ngs for the next two fiscal years (at least) held for the four most recent quarters ent fiscal year,
	and last two fiscal year-end bala Quarterly cash flow statements is and last two annual cash flow st Comparable company analysis of and trailing EV/EBIT(DA) multi	for the cu atements comparin tiples	ts arrent fiscal year, g trailing and forward P/Es

APPENDIX II CALCULATING BETAS USING DATASTREAM *Example:* Calculate IBM's beta using 3 years of historical monthly returns. "Returns" are total returns in excess of the risk-free rate.³⁶ Ask the librarian at Lippincott to log you on to one of the two Datastream terminals At the opening screen you will see: Type 401S <enter> PROGRAM NUMBER: 401S This will bring you to Datastream's simple regression graphics program (401S) You will now need to find the Mnemonic symbol for your stock Click on the Code button on the toolbar. This opens the Datastream Codes window Go to the drop-down list box and select Equities Within Equities, find your stock's Mnemonic symbol and write it down Close the Datastream Codes window to return to the 401S program You will now see: We explain SERIES 1 and SERIES 2 on the following page. CODE OR EXPRESSION This is the historical period for which you want to measure **SERIES 1** PCH#(U:IBM(RI),1M) - LAG#(USTBL3M,1M)/12 returns. As an example, enter -3Y, -3M, or -3D, for 3 years **SERIES 2** PCH#(S&PCOMP(RI),1M) - LAG#(USTBL3M,1M)/12 prior, 3 months prior, and 3 days prior, respectively. The most current date available is -1D. You START DATE : _ -3Y_ can also enter specific dates in END DATE: -1D MM-DD-YY notation. Everything must be typed ► CORRELATION FREQUENCY : M Select *M* for monthly returns and in upper-case letters. LIN/LOG REGRESSION: LIN W for weekly returns. We recommend not using daily (D)returns because of possible noise Select LIN VALUES MAY BE PLOTTED and autocorrelation in the returns. 1 AS ACTUAL VALUES 2 ALL VALUES IN INDEX SHOWN IN INDEX FORM STARTING AT 100 OR 3 SECOND SERIES REBASED TO THE STARTING VALUE OF THE FIRST SERIES

• Press <enter> to get results of simple regression

► ENTER 1, 2, OR 3

-1

Select 1

³⁶ The risk-free rate is 3-month T-bills. Conceptually, we would prefer to use 1-month T-bills but historical 1-month T-bill rates are not available on Datastream. The difference this makes in the calculation of beta is immaterial.

APPENDIX II (continued)	 The results given to you will be: REGRESSION COEFFICIENT: This is beta CORRELATION COEFFICIENT: This is R (not R²) STANDARD ERROR: This is the standard error of beta T-STATISTIC: This measures whether beta is statistically different than zero Do not be concerned if the graph title reads "Daily" when calculating beta using weekly or monthly returns This simply means that the graph is plotting weekly or monthly returns on a rolling daily basis The actual beta calculation, however, is <u>not</u> being performed on a rolling daily basis. Rather, returns are being calculated on a rolling basis based on your selection (M, W or D) in the CORRELATION FREQUENCY prompt Similarly, do not be concerned if the graph title reads "Weekly" when you are calculating monthly returns At the graph screen, press the Enter button on the toolbar to return to the 401S CODE OR EXPRESSION screen
Explanation of Inputs in SERIES 1 and SERIES 2	SERIES 1 <u>PCH#(U:IBM(RI),1M) – LAG#(USTBL3M,1M)/12</u> SERIES 2 <u>PCH#(S&PCOMP(RI),1M) – LAG#(USTBL3M,1M)/12</u>
	• SERIES 1 refers to the dependent (Y) variable in the regression. The Y variable is the return on IBM
	• SERIES 2 refers to the independent (X) variable in the regression. The X variable is the return on the S&P500
	• PCH# = percentage change
	• U:IBM = Mnemonic symbol for IBM
	• RI = Total return indexed price
	• 1M (after RI) = Monthly returns. Change to 1W for weekly returns
	 LAG#(USTBL3M,1M)/12 = The interest rate on 3-month T-bills at the beginning of the month divided by 12 This represents the return investors would have received for the month had they invested in T-bills If you are measuring weekly returns then 1M changes to 1W and 12 changes to 52
	• S&PCOMP = Mnemonic symbol for S&P500

APPENDIX III

The following is an extract (pages 1 and 10) from a research report written by Kenneth J. Ruskin, an MBA student at the Wharton School, Class of 1999. The report, dated December 4, 1997, is on Firearms Training Systems, Inc. (Nasdaq: FATS)

Mr. Ruskin determined that the current stock price of FATS implied a 10-year EVA growth rate of 6.5%. He felt that the true future EVA growth rate was closer to 15% and recommended that the Fellows Fund purchase the stock.

FATS Research Report (page 1)

Date: December 4, 1997

Analyst: Ken Ruskin Phone: (215) 587-7116

FIREARMS TRAINING SYSTEMS, INC. (FATS)

FATS is the undisputed worldwide leader in firearm simulation systems. The shares are significantly undervalued due to a market overreaction to a delayed order announced in July 1997.

Price	\$6.00	Total Assets \$MM	45.51
12 Month Range:	\$5.00-\$16.25	Book Value (per share)	1.89
Market Cap. \$MM	\$188.02	Price to Book	3.18
Shares Out. MM	20.40	ROA	33%
Daily Volume	98,272	Cash \$MM	3.60
Beta	-	Debt/MV	35%
Price Target	\$12	EVA	12.48
Fiscal Year End	31-Mar	EVA Imp. % of Cap.	2.2%

EPS	Analyst Estimates			My Estimates 1		
Quarter	FY97	FY98	FY99		FY98	FY99
June	0.17A	0.11A	0.09E		0.11A	0.12E
September	0.15A	0.10A	0.09E		0.10A	0.12E
December	0.17A	0.07E	0.09E		0.10E	0.12E
Mar (FY end)	0.17A	0.08E	0.09E		0.10E	0.12E
Full-Year	0.67A	0.36E	0.37E		0.41E	0.49E
P/E Ratio 2	9.00	16.68	16.22		14.74	12.33

 My estimates for rest of FY 98 are based on historical 85% recognition of \$44 Million backlog @ current profit margins. My FY99 estimates use the same 13% increase over analyst estimates.

(2) Trailing 12 month P/E is 10.8



FATS Research Report (page 10)

\$Millions	Trailing						
	Sep-96	Dec-96	Mar-97	Jun-97	Sep-97	6 Months	Annualized
NOPAT	5.10	5.19	3.80	3.10	2.98		
Capital	25.79	31.20	34.34	35.55	38.82		
Quarterly Cap.							
Charge		0.64	0.64	0.64	0.64		
EVA		4.54	3.15	2.46	2.33	4.79	9.58
NOPAT/Capital		20%	15%	12%	12%		
Cost of Capital		3%	3%	3%	3%		
Spread		18%	12%	10%	9%		
Capital		25.79	25.79	25.79	25.79		
EVA		4.54	3.15	2.46	2.33	4.79	9.58

EVA Calculation

Expected EVA Improvement



A quick explanation: First, annual EVA is calculated at 2x trailing six months. Then, Market Value Added (MVA) is calculated as the difference between Total Market Value and Total Book Value. This number is the Present Value of future EVA streams, which can then be split up into Capitalized Current EVA and Annual Expected EVA improvement necessary to justify the MVA. This latter number is compared against Capital and current EVA to determine feasibility of exceeding implicit market EVA improvement.

Expected Annual EVA Improvement = \$840,000 or 2.2% of Capital, 6.5% of Current EVA

Bibliography Blume, Marshall E. "On the Assessment of Risk," Journal of Finance, Vol. 26, No. 2, March 1971, pp. 1-10. Blume, Marshall E. "Betas and their Regression Tendencies," Journal of Finance, Vol. 30, No. 3, June 1973, pp. 785-795. Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French. "The Cross-Section of Expected Stock Returns," Journal of Finance, Vol. 47, No. 2, June 1992, pp.427-465. Fama, Eugene F., and Kenneth R. French. "Size and Book-to-Market Factors in Earnings and Returns," Journal of Finance, Vol. 50, No. 1, March 1995, pp. 131-155. Haugen, Robert A. The New Finance: The Case Against Efficient Markets. 2nd ed. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 1999. Hawawini, Gabriel, and Donald B. Keim. "On the Predictability of Common Stock Returns: World-Wide Evidence," in R. Jarrow et al (Eds.) Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science, Vol. 9, pp. 497-544. Holthausen, Robert W., and Mark E. Zmijewski. Security Analysis: How to Analyze Accounting and Market Data to Value Securities. (unpublished textbook) Ibbotson Associates. Stocks, Bonds, Bills and Inflation: 1999 Yearbook. Chicago: Ibbotson Associates, 1999. Ibbotson Associates. Stocks, Bonds, Bills and Inflation: 1999 Yearbook, Valuation Edition. Chicago: Ibbotson Associates, 1999. Jackson, Al, Michael J. Mauboussin and Charles R. Wolf. EVA Primer. New York: CS First Boston, February 20, 1996. Keim, Donald B. "Stock market regularities: A synthesis of the evidence and explanations," in: E. Dimson (ed.) Stock Market Anomalies. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988, pp. 16-39. Porter, Michael E. Competitive Strategy: Techniques for Analyzing Industries and Competitors. New York: The Free Press, 1980. Porter, Michael E. Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance. New York: The Free Press, 1985. Rosenberg, Barr, Kenneth Reid and Ronald Lanstein. "Persuasive Evidence of Market Inefficiency," Journal of Portfolio Management, Vol. 11, No. 3, Spring 1985, pp. 9-17. Ross, Stephen A., Randolph W. Westerfield and Jeffrey Jaffe. Corporate Finance. (4 ed.) Chicago: Irwin, 1996. Stewart, G. Bennett. The Quest for Value: The EVA Management Guide. New York: HarperCollins, 1991.